


OSC

OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL,
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

FILED



SEP 22 1976

22476

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BY DEPUTY

WILLIAM D. KELLER
United States Attorney
FREDERICK M. EROSIO, JR.
Assistant U. S. Attorney
Chief, Civil Division
MICHAEL E. WOLFSON
Assistant U. S. Attorney
1100 United States Courthouse
312 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, Ca. 90012
Telephone: (213) 688-3551

Attorneys for Defendants

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SHIRLEY J. SUTHERLAND,

Plaintiff,

v.

DIRECTOR H. STUART KNIGHT;
DIRECTOR GEORGE BUSH;
DIRECTOR CLARENCE M. KELLEY;
COMMISSIONER LEONARD CHAPMAN;
DIRECTOR REX D. DAVIS; etc.,
et al.,

Defendants.

No. CV 76-947-MML
CV 76-948-MML
CV 76-949-MML
CV 76-950-MML
CV 76-951-MML

STIPULATION TO CONTINUE
HEARING ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT, PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE
AND THE FILING OF ADDITIONAL
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTIONS
and
ORDER THEREON

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the parties to the
above actions, that:

1. The hearing on defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment
in case No. 76-0951-MML, now scheduled for September 20, 1976,
at 10:00 A.M., shall be continued to November 1, 1976, at 10:00 A.M.


2. The pre-trial conference, now scheduled for September 27,
1976, at 10:00 A.M., shall be continued to November 22, 1976, at
10:00 A.M.


3. All additional motions for summary judgment shall be
filed no later than October 15, 1976, and shall be heard on Novem-
ber 1, 1976, at 10:00 A.M.

The reason for this stipulation is that plaintiff has just

1 been served with a voluminous amount of material by defendants
 2 describing those documents which defendants are withholding from
 3 disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act. All parties
 4 here involved wish to file motions for summary judgment in the
 5 above-referenced actions. In fact, defendants have already filed
 6 such a motion in CV 76-0951-MML. In order to provide time for
 7 plaintiff to carefully examine the materials she has just received
 8 and for all parties to prepare motions for summary judgment in
 9 each of the five cases here involved, a continuance of the pre-
 10 trial conference, a continuance of the currently scheduled hear-
 11 ing on defendants' single Motion for Summary Judgment, and a
 12 continuance of the deadline for the filing of further summary
 13 judgment motions is required.


14
 15 DATED: September 15, 1976.

16
 17 
 18 SHIRLEY J. SUTHERLAND
 19 In Propria Persona

20
 21 
 22 MARK WALDMAN
 23 Cocounsel with plaintiff in cases
 24 CV 76-0947, CV 76-0948, and
 25 CV 76-0949.

26
 27 DATED: September 17, 1976.

28 WILLIAM D. KELLER
 29 United States Attorney
 30 FREDERICK M. BROSIO, JR.
 31 Assistant U. S. Attorney
 32 Chief, Civil Division

33 
 MICHAEL E. WOLFSON
 Assistant U. S. Attorney

Attorneys for Defendants

1
2
3 IT IS SO ORDERED: This 20 day of September, 1976.
4
5

6 MALCOLM M. LUCAS

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

STATINTL